

Phenomenology of the CMB

Bartjan van Tent

IJCLab, theoretical physics department, Orsay

Conseil Scientifique de l'IN2P3 3 July 2023





Outline

- 1. **The CMB** introduction, power spectrum, E & B polarization, cosmological parameters & current status, reionization, gravitational lensing
- Inflation introduction, fluctuations, primordial power spectrum & observables, predictions & constraints, isocurvature, non-Gaussianity
- Other observables number of light species, neutrino masses, SZ effect, spectral distortions
- 4. Conclusions & Targets







1. The CMB

The CMB (Cosmic Microwave Background):

- Formed when universe became transparent at **recombination** of p^+ and e^- into neutral hydrogen.
- Very isotropic 2.73 K black-body radiation.
- Tiny fluctuations $\mathcal{O}(10^{-5} \text{ K})$:



2018 T map

Linearly **polarized** because of Thomson scattering



To describe temperature fluctuations in CMB:



Cosmic variance: statistical error due to having only one sky to measure. Important at low ℓ where there are few $a_{\ell m}$ per ℓ .





Sachs-Wolfe plateau Scales still super-horizon at recombination \Rightarrow just primordial spectrum, without evolution (except ISW). Cosmic variance is large here, however.

- Acoustic peaks **Oscillations in baryon-photon plasma** before recomb. due to opposing forces gravity and radiation pressure. Snapshot at recomb.: certain λ at max or min of oscillation.
 - Silk damping Recombination not instantaneous and initial mean free path photons $\neq 0 \Rightarrow$ photons diffuse out of overdensities on small scales and **smear out fluctuations**.





Sachs-Wolfe plateau Scales still super-horizon at recombination \Rightarrow just primordial spectrum, without evolution (except ISW). Cosmic variance is large here, however.

- Acoustic peaks **Oscillations in baryon-photon plasma** before recomb. due to opposing forces gravity and radiation pressure. Snapshot at recomb.: certain λ at max or min of oscillation.
 - Silk damping Recombination not instantaneous and initial mean free path photons $\neq 0 \Rightarrow$ photons diffuse out of overdensities on small scales and **smear out fluctuations**.

Exact shape of C_{ℓ} depends on matter content of universe and other parameters \Rightarrow precise determination of \land CDM cosmological parameters.

Examples: • position first peak \rightarrow spatial curvature Ω_K ,

• height second and third peaks \rightarrow amount of baryons Ω_b & cold dark matter Ω_c .

$$\begin{split} \Omega_{\textit{K}} &= 0.001 \pm 0.002, \quad \Omega_{\textit{b}} h^2 = 0.0224 \pm 0.0001 ~(\textbf{0.7\%}), \\ \Omega_{\textit{c}} h^2 &= 0.120 \pm 0.001 ~(\textbf{1.0\%}) \end{split}$$

 $\Rightarrow \quad \boldsymbol{\Omega_m} \equiv \boldsymbol{\Omega_b} + \boldsymbol{\Omega_c} = 0.315 \pm 0.007, \quad \boldsymbol{\Omega_\Lambda} = 0.685 \pm 0.007.$

 $\label{eq:h} {\pmb h} \equiv {\it H}_0/100 = 0.674 \pm 0.005 \ ({\it 0.8\%}), \quad {\it Age} = 13.80 \pm 0.02 \ {\rm Gyr}.$

[Planck 2018, 1807.06209]





CMB polarization

Consider for simplicity monochromatic EM plane wave propagating in *z* direction: $\vec{E}(t, \vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 e^{i\theta_1} \\ a_2 e^{i\theta_2} \end{pmatrix} e^{i(\omega t - kz)}.$

Instead of $a_1, a_2, \theta_1, \theta_2$ we can use 4 Stokes parameters I, Q, U, V:

 $I = a_1^2 + a_2^2$, $Q = a_1^2 - a_2^2$, $U = 2a_1a_2\cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2)$, $V = 2a_1a_2\sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2)$.

- ▶ $I \rightarrow$ total intensity, $V \rightarrow$ left/right-handed circular polarization,
- ▶ $Q \rightarrow$ horizontal/vertical linear polarization, $U \rightarrow \pm 45^{\circ}$ linear polarization.
- *V* is not produced by Thomson scattering and is **absent in CMB**.

Of course CMB is not monochromatic plane wave $\Rightarrow I, Q, U$ depend on \vec{x} and ω .





CMB polarization

Consider for simplicity monochromatic EM plane wave propagating in *z* direction: $\vec{E}(t, \vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 e^{i\theta_1} \\ a_2 e^{i\theta_2} \end{pmatrix} e^{i(\omega t - kz)}.$

Instead of $a_1, a_2, \theta_1, \theta_2$ we can use 4 Stokes parameters I, Q, U, V:

 $I = a_1^2 + a_2^2$, $Q = a_1^2 - a_2^2$, $U = 2a_1a_2\cos(\theta_1 - \theta_2)$, $V = 2a_1a_2\sin(\theta_1 - \theta_2)$.

- ▶ $I \rightarrow$ total intensity, $V \rightarrow$ left/right-handed circular polarization,
- ▶ $Q \rightarrow$ horizontal/vertical linear polarization, $U \rightarrow \pm 45^{\circ}$ linear polarization.

V is not produced by Thomson scattering and is **absent in CMB**. Of course CMB is not monochromatic plane wave $\Rightarrow I, Q, U$ depend on \vec{x} and ω .

I (and *V*) invariant under rotations, not *Q* and *U*. \Rightarrow Use *E* (gradient) and *B* (curl) to describe linear polarization instead (invar. but non-local):

$$\boldsymbol{Q} \pm i\boldsymbol{U} = -\sum_{\ell,m} (\boldsymbol{E}_{\ell m} \pm i\boldsymbol{B}_{\ell m}) \pm_2 Y_{\ell m}$$

with $\pm 2Y_{\ell m}$ spherical harmonics of spin ± 2 .

Finally,
$$E = \sum_{\ell,m} E_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}$$
 and $B = \sum_{\ell,m} B_{\ell m} Y_{\ell m}$





 $\begin{array}{c|c} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & &$

Bartjan van Tent

Status current measurements of C_{ℓ}^{TT} , C_{ℓ}^{EE} , C_{ℓ}^{BB} : (not shown: TE cross spectrum)



- ► TT: we have **perfect measurements** (cosmic variance limited).
- ► EE: measured, but to be improved with next generation (especially low-*ℓ*).
- BB: unmeasured (primordial), holy grail for next generation of experiments.





Image credit: Roen Kellv/Discover magazine

After recombination: universe no longer ionized. But star formation partially **reionizes** universe \Rightarrow rescattering of CMB photons.

- **Reduces** existing CMB power spectrum $\propto e^{-\tau}$.
- Polarizes CMB through Thomson scattering → "reionization bump".

Optical depth to reionization $\tau = \int_{t_{rin}}^{t_0} \sigma_T n_e(t) c dt$ determines both.





Gravitational lensing

Matter distribution in late universe has impact on CMB via gravitational lensing.

- + Allows determination total matter distribution.
- Contamination of CMB spectrum (reduction peaks by smoothing).
- Creates B-polarization from E, much larger than primordial B.







 \Rightarrow Solves horizon & flatness problems and creates seeds for structure formation:

- 1. Very rapid expansion: actual horizon much larger than observable universe;
- 2. Quantum fluctuations inflated to macroscopic classical perturbations.

Constraints on inflation models:

- Ad 1. At least 60 e-folds of inflation;
- Ad 2. Inflationary fluctuation properties have to match CMB observations.
- \Rightarrow Observational constraints on underlying high-energy theories.



Phenomenology of the CMB - 2. Inflation



Quantum fluctuations completely change behaviour when inflated to $\overline{\lambda} \gtrsim (aH)^{-1}$ (comoving Hubble length): instead of oscillations \rightarrow growing (or constant) and decaying mode. When decaying mode negligible \rightarrow fluctuations classical (squeezing).





Phenomenology of the CMB - 2. Inflation



Quantum fluctuations completely change behaviour when inflated to $\overline{\lambda \gtrsim (aH)^{-1}}$ (comoving Hubble length): instead of oscillations \rightarrow growing (or constant) and decaying mode. When decaying mode negligible \rightarrow fluctuations classical (squeezing).

Single-field slow-roll inflation:

Scalar (~ energy density) fluctuations: power spectrum $P_s(k) = A_s(k/k_0)^{n_s-1}$ with amplitude $A_s = \frac{\hbar G}{\pi c^5} \frac{H_{k_0}^2}{\epsilon_{k_0}}$ and spectral index $n_s - 1 = -6\epsilon_{k_0} + 2\eta_{k_0}$

*H*²_{k₀} ≈ ^{8πG}/_{3c²} *V*_{k₀} energy scale of inflation (at horizon exit of CMB pivot scale k₀),

 *ϵ*_{k₀} ≈ ^{c⁴}/_{16πG} (*V*' / *V*)²_{k₀}, *η*_{k₀} ≈ ^{c⁴}/_{8πG} (*V*'' / *V*)_{k₀} slow-roll parameters ≪ 1.

 A_s and n_s well measured, but degeneracy because two observables depend on three inflationary variables.





Tensor (gravitational wave) **fluctuations**: power spectrum $P_t(k) = A_t(k/k_0)^{n_t}$ with **amplitude** $A_t = \frac{16\hbar G}{\pi c^5} H_{k_0}^2$ and **spectral index** $n_t = -2\epsilon_{k_0}$

Instead of A_t we use **r**, tensor-to-scalar ratio: $r \equiv A_t/A_s = 16\epsilon_{k_0} = -8n_t$

Scalar fluctuations cannot create B-polarization, only tensor fluctuations can.

 \Rightarrow If we can measure primordial B-modes, we will know r.

This breaks degeneracy so that we learn

- energy scale of inflation (at horizon exit of CMB pivot scale),
- first and second derivatives of inflaton potential there (if single field).
- In many models r directly gives lower bound on field excursion ("Lyth bound"): $\Delta \phi/M_P \gtrsim 10\sqrt{r} \sqrt{c^3/\hbar}$. [Lyth, hep-ph/9606387]
- If we can also measure nt we will test single-field slow-roll consistency relat.

Current constraints:

 $\ln(10^{10} A_s) = 3.04 \pm 0.01 \ (0.5\%), \quad n_s = 0.965 \pm 0.004 \ (0.4\%),$

r < 0.032 (95% CL)

[Planck 2018, 1807.06209] and for r [Tristram et al., 2112.07961]





Forecasts and predictions for r:



While *r* could be much smaller, there are **important targets** in region $r \gtrsim 0.001$:

- Popular Starobinsky R²/Higgs inflation models;
- More generally, any single-field monomial/plateau/hilltop potential with super-Planckian characteristic scale/field excursion.





Isocurvature

If multiple-field inflation \rightarrow possibility of **relative fluctuations** between components: **isocurvature modes**, parametrized by $\beta_{iso} = A_{iso}/A_s$. **Current constraints**:

 $\beta_{iso}(CDM) < 0.039, \ \beta_{iso}(\nu \text{ density}) < 0.089, \ \beta_{iso}(\nu \text{ velocity}) < 0.058 (95\% \text{ CL})$





Isocurvature

If multiple-field inflation \rightarrow possibility of **relative fluctuations** between components: **isocurvature modes**, parametrized by $\beta_{iso} = A_{iso}/A_s$. **Current constraints**:

 $\textbf{\beta}_{\text{iso}}(\text{CDM}) < 0.039, \ \textbf{\beta}_{\text{iso}}(\nu \text{ density}) < 0.089, \ \textbf{\beta}_{\text{iso}}(\nu \text{ velocity}) < 0.058 \ (95\% \text{ CL})$

[Montandon et al., 2007.05457]

Non-Gaussianity

Since gravity **non-linear**, fluctuations not exactly Gaussian \Rightarrow information beyond power spectrum in higher correlators like **bispectrum** $B(k_1, k_2, k_3)$ (3-point corr.).

- ► Parametrized by $f_{NL} \sim B/P_s^2$ (different f_{NL} for different bispectrum shapes).
- Single-field slow-roll inflation: f_{NL} ~ 0.01.
 Other models predict larger f_{NL}; important observational target: f_{NL} ~ 1.
- Multiple-field inflation: local bispectrum template; Single-field with non-standard kinetic terms: equilateral & orthogonal.

Current constraints:

$$\label{eq:floc} {\it f_{\rm NL}^{\rm loc}} = -0.9 \pm 5.1, \quad {\it f_{\rm NL}^{\rm equ}} = -26 \pm 47, \quad {\it f_{\rm NL}^{\rm ort}} = -38 \pm 24$$

[Planck 2018, 1905.05697]





Isocurvature

If multiple-field inflation \rightarrow possibility of **relative fluctuations** between components: **isocurvature modes**, parametrized by $\beta_{iso} = A_{iso}/A_s$. Current constraints:

 $eta_{
m iso}(
m CDM) < 0.039, \ eta_{
m iso}(
u \
m density) < 0.089, \ eta_{
m iso}(
u \
m velocity) < 0.058 \ (95\% \
m CL)$

[Montandon et al., 2007.05457]

Non-Gaussianity

Since gravity **non-linear**, fluctuations not exactly Gaussian \Rightarrow information beyond power spectrum in higher correlators like **bispectrum** $B(k_1, k_2, k_3)$ (3-point corr.).

- ► Parametrized by $f_{NL} \sim B/P_s^2$ (different f_{NL} for different bispectrum shapes).
- Single-field slow-roll inflation: f_{NL} ~ 0.01.
 Other models predict larger f_{NL}; important observational target: f_{NL} ~ 1.
- Multiple-field inflation: local bispectrum template; Single-field with non-standard kinetic terms: equilateral & orthogonal.

Current constraints:

$$f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{loc}} = -0.9 \pm 5.1, \quad f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{equ}} = -26 \pm 47, \quad f_{\text{NL}}^{\text{ort}} = -38 \pm 24$$

[Planck 2018, 1905.05697]

Features

Some inflation models predict **features** (like oscillations) correlated between power spectrum (T+E) and bispectrum. See e.g. [Achucarro et al., 2203.08128]





3. Other observables







Number of light species

Energy density relativistic species: $\rho_B = \left(1 + N_{\text{eff}} \frac{7}{8} \left(\frac{4}{11}\right)^{4/3}\right) \rho_{\gamma}$

- If 3 neutrinos fully decoupled before electron-positron annihilation: $N_{\text{eff}} = 3$.
- In fact not fully decoupled, hence SM prediction: N_{eff} = 3.046.
- Other light particles, like sterile neutrinos or axions, would increase N_{eff} ⇒ change expansion history, transition radiation to matter domination ⇒ impact on evolution CMB high-ℓ modes that reentered horizon early.





des 2 Infinis Irène Joliot-Curie

Number of light species

Energy density relativistic species: $\rho_R = \left(1 + N_{\text{eff}} \frac{7}{8} \left(\frac{4}{11}\right)^{4/3}\right) \rho_{\gamma}$

- If 3 neutrinos fully decoupled before electron-positron annihilation: $N_{\text{eff}} = 3$.
- In fact not fully decoupled, hence **SM prediction**: $N_{\rm eff} = 3.046$.
- Other light particles, like sterile neutrinos or axions, would increase N_{eff} \Rightarrow change expansion history, transition radiation to matter domination \Rightarrow impact on evolution CMB high- ℓ modes that reentered horizon early.

Neutrino masses



Sunyaev-Zel'dovich effect

Thermal SZ effect: Inverse Compton scattering of CMB photons by hot electrons in **galaxy clusters** <u>distorts CMB</u> frequency spectrum non-thermally, conserving photon number density.

$$\begin{split} & \Delta T_{\text{TSZ}}(\nu) / T_{\text{CMB}} = g(\nu) y \\ & \text{with Compton parameter } y = \int \sigma_T n_e \frac{k_B T_e}{m_e c^2} \, dl \\ & \text{and } g(\nu) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} < 0 & \text{if } \nu < 217 \text{ GHz} \\ > 0 & \text{if } \nu > 217 \text{ GHz} \end{array} \right. \end{split}$$

 \Rightarrow Used to identify clusters, study cluster physics and galaxy formation.



[Carlstrom et al., astro-ph/0208192]

Kinetic SZ effect: Additional <u>thermal distortion</u> due to peculiar velocities of galaxy clusters (Doppler effect) \Rightarrow Determine <u>cluster velocities</u>.





Sunvaev-Zel'dovich effect

Thermal SZ effect: Inverse Compton scattering of CMB photons by hot electrons in galaxy clusters distorts CMB frequency spectrum non-thermally, conserving photon number density.

 $\Delta T_{\text{TSZ}}(\nu)/T_{\text{CMB}} = g(\nu)\gamma$ with Compton parameter $y = \int \sigma_T n_e \frac{k_B T_e}{m_c c^2} dl$ and $g(\nu)$ $\begin{cases} < 0 & \text{if } \nu < 217 \text{ GHz} \\ > 0 & \text{if } \nu > 217 \text{ GHz} \end{cases}$

 \Rightarrow Used to identify clusters, study cluster physics and galaxy formation.



[[]Carlstrom et al., astro-ph/0208192]

Kinetic SZ effect: Additional thermal distortion due to peculiar velocities of galaxy clusters (Doppler effect) \Rightarrow Determine cluster velocities.

Spectral distortions

Many other sources of spectral distortions exist, both before and after recomb.

- y-type: distortions similar to thermal SZ (conserve n_{γ}).
- \blacktriangleright μ -type: early energy injections, partially thermalized \rightarrow behave like chem. pot.

Huge discovery potential: information not probed in other ways, and no new measurements since COBE satellite.

See e.g. [Chluba et al., 1903.04218]





4. Conclusions & Targets

- There is a wealth of information in the CMB.
- The temperature power spectrum has been well measured, but upcoming experiments will improve measurements of E-polarization and B-polarization, and hopefully detect the primordial B-modes.
- Scientific CMB-related targets for the next 15 years:
 - Measure (or constrain) r to learn more about inflation.
 - Improve constraints on (or detect!) other inflationary observables:
 f_{NL}, β_{iso}, oscillations.
 - Improve error bars on τ and learn more about **reionization**/first stars.
 - Improve knowledge of **neutrino**/light particle sector: N_{eff} , $\sum m_{\nu}$.
 - Improve error bars on all cosmological parameters.
 - Reconstruct matter distribution through lensing: growth of structure.
 - Better measurements SZ effects: cluster physics, galaxy formation.
 - Learn more about **dark matter** and **dark energy** through the above.
 - Discovery potential beyond-SM physics (cosmic birefringence, ...).
- Other targets CMB missions: galactic science, mapping microwave sky.
- Scientific CMB targets for later: n_t, spectral distortions, ...

